SB 505: LAST IN TIME, FIRST IN RIGHT

EXHIBIT	3
DATE 4	18/09
SB 505	Metromana de circulation de la company

- SB 505 calls ground water that is produced during coal bed methane production surface water. Calling ground water "surface water in a pipeline, pit or pond" uproots

 Montana's 120 year-old "first in time, first in right" prior appropriation doctrine, which is the bedrock of agriculture in Montana.
- SB 505 leaves Montana Ranchers and American Indians with rights to this water in the dust. It strips away all protections for people with ground water rights, valuable property rights protected by Article IX, Section 3(1) of the Montana Constitution. And it potentially interferes with Indian Reserved water rights and Compact rights. The Northern Cheyenne Tribe relies on this sacred water.
- SB 505 is designed to overturn 2 water rights cases that Fidelity lost. The judge said CBM water is ground water, and the point of diversion is the ground where the CBM wells pump the water from the aquifers. This has always been the law! The Judge also saidthat "Prior jurisdiction does not mean exclusive jurisdiction." DNRC has twice been ordered to step up and take responsibility for existing water rights but refuses to do so. This bill allows DNRC to continue to ignore its constitutional duties to protect water rights and Indian reserved water rights.
- In Montana, you can't put a pump in the river and take the water without a surface water permit, and you can't put a well in the ground and take the water without a ground water permit.
- **SB** 505 is not needed! The area where CBM development is occurring is a designated "Controlled Groundwater Area." The Water Use Act (§ 85-2-306(2), MCA) only allows appropriations in a Controlled Groundwater Area Order under an Order or Rule of the DNRC or by a special permit. The Powder River Basin Controlled Groundwater Area Final Order has provision allowing "local interests" to use the water. We do not need to change around water into surface water.
- This legislation intrudes on the constitutionally protected water rights of Montana's ranchers and Indian Tribes, which have long been recognized as valuable property rights,.
- If people do want to use this water, then they must apply for a groundwater right, get a certificate of water right and priority date just like everyone else. If they do not, then it is an intrusion on the constitutional rights to due process and equal protection of the law.
- You should ask these people what they did for water before CBM development, and what they will do after the sun sets on CBM development.
- PLEASE VOTE NO on SB 505

SB 505: LAST IN TIME, FIRST IN RIGHT

EXHIBIT	3
DATE 4/	8/09
SB 505	

- SB 505 calls ground water that is produced during coal bed methane production surface water. Calling ground water "surface water in a pipeline, pit or pond" uproots

 Montana's 120 year-old "first in time, first in right" prior appropriation doctrine, which is the bedrock of agriculture in Montana.
- SB 505 leaves Montana Ranchers and American Indians with rights to this water in the dust. It strips away all protections for people with ground water rights, valuable property rights protected by Article IX, Section 3(1) of the Montana Constitution. And it potentially interferes with Indian Reserved water rights and Compact rights. The Northern Cheyenne Tribe relies on this sacred water.
- SB 505 is designed to overturn 2 water rights cases that Fidelity lost. The judge said CBM water is ground water, and the point of diversion is the ground where the CBM wells pump the water from the aquifers. This has always been the law! The Judge also saidthat "Prior jurisdiction does not mean exclusive jurisdiction." DNRC has twice been ordered to step up and take responsibility for existing water rights but refuses to do so. This bill allows DNRC to continue to ignore its constitutional duties to protect water rights and Indian reserved water rights.
- In Montana, you can't put a pump in the river and take the water without a surface water permit, and you can't put a well in the ground and take the water without a ground water permit.
- **SB** 505 is not needed! The area where CBM development is occurring is a designated "Controlled Groundwater Area." The Water Use Act (§ 85-2-306(2), MCA) only allows appropriations in a Controlled Groundwater Area Order under an Order or Rule of the DNRC or by a special permit. The Powder River Basin Controlled Groundwater Area Final Order has provision allowing "local interests" to use the water. We do not need to change ground water into surface water.
- This legislation intrudes on the constitutionally protected water rights of Montana's ranchers and Indian Tribes, which have long been recognized as valuable property rights,.
- If people do want to use this water, then they must apply for a groundwater right, get a certificate of water right and priority date just like everyone else. If they do not, then it is an intrusion on the constitutional rights to due process and equal protection of the law.
- You should ask these people what they did for water before CBM development, and what they will do after the sun sets on CBM development.
- PLEASE VOTE NO on SB 505

NAMES STEENEY

2008 DEC 15 P 3:59

I.STOPPIL

RECEIVED

DEC 1 6 2008

REYNOLDS, MOTL & SHERWOOD

MONTANA FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT LEWIS AND CLARK COUNTY

NORTHERN PLAINS RESOURCE COUNCIL, INC., and TONGUE RIVER WATER USERS' ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiffs and Petitioners,

V.

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION and FIDELITY EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION COMPANY,

Defendants and Respondents.

Cause No.: CDV-2007-425

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER ON PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

Petitioners Northern Plains Resource Council, Inc. (Northern Plains), and Tongue River Water Users' Association (Water Users) have petitioned for judicial review of the final order issued May 31, 2007, by Respondent Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC). The order granted Respondent Fidelity Exploration & Production Company (Fidelity) a beneficial water use permit to market in Montana the water Fidelity pumps from the ground during the production of coal bed methane gas. Following extensive briefing, the petition was heard October 10, 2008. Having fully considered the arguments

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

presented and having reviewed the applicable parts of the administrative record, the Court concludes that the final order should be reversed and the case remanded to DNRC for further proceedings.

BACKGROUND

Fidelity extracts coal bed methane gas from coal beds located in what is known as the CX Field in the Powder River Basin, Bighorn County, Montana. As part of the extraction, water is withdrawn from the ground along with the gas. The initial withdrawal of the water is regulated by the Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation, not DNRC. Section 85-2-510, MCA.

Pursuant to Section 85-2-311, MCA, Fidelity applied to DNRC for a beneficial water use permit to market water produced during the extraction process. The application sought to appropriate up to 3,863 acre-feet of water per year to market to potential users in Big Horn County. Fidelity also applied to appropriate up to 3,000 acre-feet of water per year for marketing in Wyoming. Northern Plains, the Water Users, and others objected to Fidelity's applications, and DNRC scheduled a contested case proceeding pursuant to the Montana Administrative Procedure Act, Section 2-4-601, et seq., MCA.

On January 3, 2007, the hearing examiner issued his order on scope of issues for the hearing. He held that the water which Fidelity sought to put to beneficial use is not ground water and that the point of diversion is Fidelity's pipeline. He also ruled that Fidelity properly split what originally was one application into two applications. Finally, the hearing examiner declined to address Petitioners' constitutional arguments, explaining that he was without jurisdiction to consider those arguments.

/////

24

25

/////

/////

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

22

In its July 18, 2008 Memorandum and Order denying Fidelity's motion to dismiss Petitioners' constitutional claims, the Court stated that the threshold question is whether the hearing examiner correctly determined that the water removed during the coal bed methane extraction process is not ground water. In his January 3, 2007 order, the hearing examiner ruled:

I determine that the hearing in this matter will be conducted by considering the water held in Fidelity's pipeline and storage system as the source of the appropriation. To rule otherwise would require me to question the constitutionality of the statutory scheme duly established by the Legislature, which I may not do.

That the Legislature intended (but did not expressly state) that water produced by CBM development is to be considered something other than ground water can be inferred by (but not limited to) the following: [citing Sections 85-2-306(2)(b), 85-2-510, 82-11-175(2), MCA, and the order which established the Powder River Controlled Ground Water Area.]

The hearing examiner's ruling essentially precluded Petitioners from presenting any evidence on whether Fidelity's applications would adversely impact their water rights.

It is far from clear to the Court why the status of the water is a constitutional question. The constitution, of course, is implicated because water rights are valuable property rights which are recognized in the Montana Constitution, article IX, section 3. Subsection 3 provides: "All surface, underground, flood, and atmospheric waters within the boundaries of the state are the property of the state for the use of its people and are subject to appropriation for beneficial uses as provided by law." However, how the water is classified is a factual/legal question. Petitioners' constitutional claims arise because the hearing examiner held that the water is something other than ground water, thereby

precluding them from presenting any evidence on whether Fidelity's application would adversely affect their water rights.

The water at issue is "industrial waste" or an unwanted by-product of the extraction process. N. Plains Res. Council v. Fid. Exploration & Dev. Co., 325 F.3d 1155, 1160-61 (9th Cir. 2003). Fidelity does not have a water right for the water. However, it must manage the disposal of the water in accordance with Section 82-11-175, MCA.

Fidelity's application states that the source of the water is developed water from coal bed methane gas production in the CX Field. According to the application, the point of diversion is Fidelity's centralized water management system which consists of wells, pipelines, pumping stations, and storage facilities.

In his January 3, 2007 order, the hearing examiner refers to the water as "water developed through CBM development." He states that Fidelity's application is for an appropriation of water from its pipeline.

The Court is not sure what Fidelity means by developed water.

Developed water is not a term used in the constitution or in any of the statutes cited to the Court. As found by the Ninth Circuit, the water is simply an unwanted by-product which is drawn from the ground during the production of coal bed methane gas.

The CX Field is large, covering all of two townships, thirty-three sections in a third township, and a half section in a fourth township. At the time of the application, Fidelity operated 437 wells in the CX Field and had plans to bring into production 250-300 new wells per year. While Fidelity identifies its CX Field pipeline system as the point of diversion, the water is brought to the pipeline from the ground through the wells. Thus, the water only gets to the pipeline because it

diversion.

Section 85-2-501(4) MCA defines ground water as "any water

is pumped from the ground which is the source of the water and the point of

Section 85-2-501(4), MCA, defines ground water as "any water that is beneath the ground surface." Each of the statutes cited by the hearing examiner relates to ground water. Section 85-2-306(2)(b), MCA, provides that ground water within a controlled ground water area may be appropriated only "according to the requirements of an order issued pursuant to 85-2-507." Section 85-2-507, MCA, addresses orders establishing or modifying controlled ground water areas.

Section 85-2-510, MCA, provides that in a controlled ground water area, water which is produced entirely as a result of oil and/or gas withdrawals is "under the prior jurisdiction of the board of oil and gas conservation." Prior jurisdiction does not mean exclusive jurisdiction. Moreover, the statute does not lead to an inference, much less to a conclusion of law, that the water which is pumped from the ground in the course of extracting coal bed methane gas, automatically becomes something different than ground water for purposes of appropriation. The source of the water is still the ground and the point of diversion is the ground.

Finally, Section 82-11-175(2), MCA, provides in part: "Ground water produced in association with a coal bed methane well must be managed in any of the following ways: (a) used as irrigation or stock water or for other beneficial uses in compliance with Title 85, chapter 2, part 3." Title 85, chapter 2, part 3 is the section of the code dealing with appropriations, permits, and certificates of water rights.

Based on these statutes, the Court concludes that what Fidelity applied to appropriate was ground water, not pipeline water. If the legislature

intended something different it could have said so, but did not.

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the final order of the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation issued May 31, 2007 IS REVERSED, and the beneficial water use permit granted to Fidelity Exploration & Production Company IS DECLARED VOID. The matter IS REMANDED to the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation for further proceedings.

DATED _/5 day of December 2008.

THOMAS C. HONZEL

District Court Judge

pcs: Jack R. Tuholske

Brenda Lindlief Hall

Jon Metropoulos/Dana L. Hupp

Donald D. MacIntyre

Anne W. Yates/Candace F. West

Fred W. Robinson

d/TCH/Northern Plains Resource v. DNRC & Fidelity CDV-07-425

Tobballot I. Divice at facility CD V-07-425



COPY SENT TO CLIENT RECEIVED

JUL 1 6 2008 REYNOLDS, MOTL & SHERWOOD

6 7 MONTANA TWENTY - SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, BIG HORN COUNTY 8 DIAMOND CROSS PROPERTIES, LLC, 9 Cause No. DV 05-70 Plaintiff, 10 NORTHERN PLAINS RESOURCE 11 Judge: Blair Jones COUNCIL, INC. AND TONGUE RIVER WATER USERS ASSOCIATION, 12 13 Plaintiffs-Intervenor, 14 VS. 15 STATE OF MONTANA, MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL **ORDER** 16 QUALITY, MONTANA BOARD OF OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION, DEPARTMENT) 17 OF NATURAL RESOURCE AND **CONSERVATION, and POWDER RIVER** 18 GAS, LLC. and PINNACLE GAS RESOURCES, INC., 19 20 Defendants. 21 FIDELITY EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION COMPANY, 22 Defendant-Intervenor. 23 24 Before the Court are motions for summary judgment filed by Plaintiff Diamond 25 Cross Properties, L.L.C. (Diamond Cross), Plaintiffs-Intervenor Northern Plains

DV 05-70

2

3

4

5

ORDER ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS - DIAMOND CROSS, ET. AL. VS. DEQ, ET. AL.

PAGE 1 OF 18

§85-2-505(1)(e), MCA, and §82-11-175(2)(c) and (2)(d), MCA, are constitutional. Of particular relevance is the requirement to construe statutes narrowly to avoid an interpretation that results in unconstitutionality, and resolves all doubts in favor of the statutes' constitutionality.

DISCUSSION

Whether Article IX, Section 3(3) of the Montana Constitution and the policy provisions of the 1973 Water Use Act, as amended, require that CBM produced ground water be put to a beneficial use.

Article IX, §3(3) of the Montana Constitution provides:

Water rights.

(3) All surface, underground, flood, and atmospheric waters within the boundaries of the state are the property of the state for the use of its people and are subject to appropriation for beneficial uses as provided by law.

In General Agriculture Corporation v. Moore, 166 Mont. 510, 515, 534 P.2d 859, 862 (1975), the Montana Supreme Court declared:

We construe Article IX, Section 3(1) of the 1972 Constitution as not only reaffirming the public policy of the 1889 Constitution but also as recognizing and confirming all rights acquired under that Constitution and the implementing statutes enacted thereunder. Construed in this context, Article IX, Section 3, with the exception of subdivision (4), is self-executing.

The Supreme Court further reiterated in *Moore* that the supremacy of constitutional mandates is too well established to require citation. *Moore*, 166 Mont. at 515, 534 P.2d at 862. When construing a constitutional provision, the Court must heed the following instruction:

"[t]he words of a constitution may not be ignored as meaningless * * *. If the language used is clear and unambiguous its meaning and intent are to be ascertained from the instrument itself by construing the language as it is written. Unless the content suggests otherwise, words are to be given

22

23

24

25

their natural obvious, or ordinary meaning. * * * There is no occasion for construction where the language is plain and definite."

Moore at 515.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The operative language of Art. IX, §3(3) clearly indicates that the framers recognized ground water as property of the State for the use of its people and contemplated appropriation of the water by the people for beneficial uses as provided by law.

Art. IX, §3(4) imposes a legislative duty to administer, control, and regulate water rights and establish a system of centralized records. In response, the Montana Legislature enacted the 1973 Water Use Act (WUA).

As Justice Morris noted in *Mont. Trout Unlimited v. Mont. Dep't of Natural Res. & Conservation*, 2006 MT 72, ¶5-6, 133 P.3d 224, 226:

"The 1972 Montana Constitutional Convention sought to remedy Montana's antiquated appropriation system. The 1972 Constitution contained a directive to the legislature that it 'shall provide for the administration, control, and regulation of water rights and shall establish a system of centralized records, in addition to the present system of local record.' Art. IX, Sec. 3(4), Mont. Const. The legislature promptly responded by passing the 1973 Montana Water Use Act (Act), 1973 Mont. Laws 452; Sections 85-2-212 to -907, MCA."

The Legislature articulated the following relevant policy considerations in enacting the WUA. Section 85-1-101, MCA, states in pertinent part:

Policy considerations.

It is hereby declared as follows:

- (1) The general welfare of the people of Montana, in view of the state's population growth and expanding economy, requires that water resources of the state be put to optimum beneficial use and not wasted.
- (2) The public policy of the state is to promote the conservation, development, and beneficial use of the state's water resources to secure maximum economic and social prosperity for its citizens.

The DNRC has filed a brief which discusses at length the history of water law and the coal bed regulatory environment from DNRC's perspective. Specifically, DNRC points out that, for over 25 years, DNRC has taken the position that the extraction of water that is not needed or desired for a beneficial use, but is merely disposed of as a byproduct of other activities, does not constitute a beneficial use of water requiring a beneficial water use permit. DNRC provides the Court with examples of DNRC findings that the manipulation or movement of ground water has not required a beneficial use permit. The examples include the dewatering of a gravel pit, the removal of contaminated water from a mining operation, and the land application of sewage effluent by a municipality. The DNRC has reasoned that it is the regulator of water rights, not the regulator of water disposal and that not all diversions of water involve a water use or require the security of a water right.

The Court does not dispute the DNRC's position in the context of the referenced examples. Indeed, the rulings made by the DNRC in the instances recited are in concert with the exceptions noted by the Legislature that do not constitute waste of water under the WUA. See §85-2-505 (a),(b),(c), and (d). However, the disposition of CBM produced ground water is distinguishable because the quantity of water that is produced in CBM extraction dwarfs the amounts of water disposed of in the examples cited by the DNRC. Additionally, the examples proferred by DNRC were outside a controlled ground water area. These distinctions and the anticipated impacts, real or imagined, of substantial dewatering of aquifers require appropriate State regulatory review to give effect to the mandates of Article IX, §3(3) and the Legislative policy reflected in the WUA.

§82-11-175, MCA, states, in pertinent part:

Coal bed methane wells - requirements.

- (1) Coal bed methane production wells that involve the production of ground water must comply with this section.
- (2) Ground water produced in association with a coal bed methane well must be managed in any of the following ways:
 - (a) used as irrigation or stock water or for other beneficial uses in compliance with Title 85, chapter 2, part 3;
 - (b) reinjected to an acceptable subsurface strata or aquifer pursuant to applicable law;
 - (c) discharged to the surface or surface waters subject to the permit requirements of Title 75, chapter 5; or
 - (d) managed through other methods allowed by law.

Reading these statutes with a view toward preserving their constitutionality, it is fair to state that the provisions of §82-11-175, MCA, mandate constitutionally acceptable methods for managing CBM produced ground water. Subsections 2(a) and 2(b) of §82-11-175, MCA, authorize management of CBM produced ground water for recognized beneficial uses. In fact, reinjection authorized under §82-11-175 (2)(b), MCA is not challenged by Diamond Cross. To the extent that discharge of CBM produced ground water to the surface or to surface waters following compliance with water quality standards under Title 75, chapter 5 of the Montana Code can serve a beneficial purpose for surface owners or downstream users, such use could be deemed beneficial and, therefore, in compliance with Article IX, Section 3(3) of the Montana Constitution and the policy expressed by the Legislature relative to the State's ground water resources. Similarly, other methods allowed by law which conform to the

constitutional and legislative policy mandates referenced above constitute permissible management of CBM produced ground water.

Section 85-2-505(1)(e), MCA, provides that the management, discharge or reinjection of ground water produced in association with coal bed methane production does not constitute waste. Read in conjunction with the Court's construction of §82-11-175, MCA, i.e. that CBM ground water must be statutorily managed in ways serving acknowledged beneficial purposes, such management, discharge, or reinjection does not, in fact, constitute waste. Therefore, §85-2-505(1)(e), MCA does not violate Article IX, §3(3) of the Montana Constitution nor does it conflict with the express policy of the WUA.

As noted previously, the ground water at issue is located in a controlled ground water area. Section 85-2-510, MCA delegates to MBOGC prior jurisdiction of all production of CBM ground water within the controlled ground water areas or subareas. Section 85-2-510, MCA, provides:

<u>Production of water from oil and gas wells -- hearings -- jurisdiction of board of oil and gas conservation.</u>

Within any designated or modified controlled ground water area or subarea wherein oil and/or gas wells produce either fresh, brackish, or saline water associated with oil and gas, the volume of production of which is dependent entirely on the oil and/or gas withdrawals, such production of water shall be under the prior jurisdiction of the board of oil and gas conservation. Hearings pertaining to the production, use, or disposal of water from those wells shall be held by that board in accordance with the procedures established by that board. The department may petition the board of oil and gas conservation for hearings in regard to those operations, and it shall be notified by the board of oil and gas conservation of those hearings instigated by other parties when those hearings involve operations within a controlled ground water area or subarea.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To the extent that MBOGC exercises regulatory authority over the production use, or disposal of CBM produced ground water, it must do so in compliance with constitutional mandate and the Court's construction of the relevant statutes that require management of CBM produced ground water for beneficial purposes. DNRC argues that MBOGC is attached to DNRC only for administrative purposes. Nonetheless, the Court perceives no significant impediment to a cooperative effort between MBOGC and DNRC to evaluate the management of CBM ground water for beneficial purposes under the recognized criteria of the WUA. The appropriateness of such a scenario is obvious. The 1973 WUA, as amended, is the current statutory scheme in Montana for appropriation of ground water for a beneficial use. The WUA provides criteria to be considered when senior users may be adversely impacted by a proposed water appropriation. To the extent the WUA is applied equally to all potential appropriators of water, equal protection concerns are minimized. Moreover, the significant State interest in the management of enormous quantities of the State's ground water is advanced by appropriate State agency review.

There is little question that the Montana Legislature, through the CBM legislation, sought to facilitate CBM production in Montana. The Court recognizes the policy reasons expressed by the Legislature in doing so. However, the Montana Constitution and relevant statutes require management of CBM ground water for beneficial purposes. This conclusion recognizes and serves the balancing of fundamental interests referenced above.

In weighing the relative interests at stake within the parameters of existing constitutional and legislative enactments, the Court remains convinced that orderly and

																													7			
G GWTK 420 1920-09-24 JUNE 2003	935-05-02	1971-11-11 G GWTR 42C	WELL 4	947-12-	WELL 4	1951-03-01	72T-096	GWTR 4	964-07-	G WELL 42B	1902-06-14	S STRM 42B	1961-11-09	WELL 4	1957-09-30	S STRM 42C	950-12-	STRM 4	944-12	STRM 4	1.00 1982-0	G WELL 42B	1.00 1982-0	G WELL 42B	1.00 1982-0	G WELL 42B	1982-03-30	G WELL 42C	1982-03-30	ELL 4	1982-03-30	G WELL 42C
145036 00 NENWSW 27 BILLINGS RE		/5035 7	145034 00	12	45033	MINIMINIMI 145032 00	27	45031		145030 00	NW 18	145029 00	SENWSE 18	4502	8 WIMSWS	145027 00	SENWSE 33	145026 00	NWSENE 26	145025 00	3-30 SWNESE	45473 00	3-30 SWNESE	45473 00	3-30 SWNESE	45473 00	NWSESE 1	45038 00	SWSESE 13	45037	0	45036 00
00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM 5 S 44 E GROUNDWATER REGIONAL OFFICE - BY OWN	5 S 44 E G	6 S 42 E GROU	STATEMENT	6 S 42 E GROU	STATE	STATEMENT	5 S 44 E ODELL	STATEME	43 E GROU	STATEMENT	43 E HANG	STATEMENT	6 S 43 E GROUNDWATE	STATEMENT OF CLA	UNNAMED	STATEMENT	5 S 44 E UNNAMED TR) STATEMENT OF CLAIM	5 S 42 E UNNAMED) STATEMENT OF CLAIM	19 6 S 43 E GRO	GROUND WATER C	6 S 43 E	GROUND WATER C	19 .6 S 43 E GR(GROUND WATER	E GROU	GROUND WATER	6 S 42 E GROUNDWATEP	GROUND WATER	6 S 43 E GROUND) GROUND WATER CERT
OWNER	TER	ATEN.	IM	ATEN ATEN	TM .	IM	CREEK	IM	ATER		WOMAN CREEK	IM	ATER		TRIBUTARY OF WHI		TRIBUTARY OF HAY		TRIBUTARY OF CO	IM	GROUNDWATER	CERTIFICATE	GRÓUNDWATER	CERTIFICATE	WAT	CERTIFICATE	,	TIFICATE		TIFICATE	四!	TIFICATE
\ ∃	V. ⊢:)	ST	. (n H	SH		ST		S 円		SI		T S	⊣	ST		SI	COOK CREEK	SI		SI	,	LG .		DM		SH		ST		EX
5.00 GPM	5.00 GPM		3.00 GPM	LI.OO GEM		5.00 GPM		5.00 GPM		5.00 GPM		4.40 GPM		5.00 GPM								12.50 GPM	(12.50 GPM	,	12.50 GPM	0	3.00 GPM		3.00 GPM	•	20 00 GPM
BROWN CATTLE CO	.70 BROWN CATTLE CO	BROWN CATTLE CO	4.80	BROWN CATTLE CO	BROWN CATTLE CO	5.04	BROWN CATTLE CO	1.00	BROWN CATTLE CO	1.47	BROWN CATTLE CO	7.00	BROWN CATTLE CO	1.00	BROWN CATTLE CO	1.26	BROWN CATTLE CO	1.00	BROWN CATTLE CO	1.00	BROWN CATTLE	2.00	BROWN CATTLE	2 40	BROWN CATTLE	1.00	BROWN CATTLE CO	40	BROWN CATTLE CO	DROWN CALLER CO	O THE C	۵ <u>۱</u> ۵
.70	.70	(4.80	4.20	<u>,</u>	5.04		1.00	- - -	1 47	•	7 00	1	1.00		7 26	} • •	1.00			3.		3.	л _	. •	л 40	т •	<u>></u>		>	3.40) >

	1964-05-26 NESWSW 25 5 S 4	UNDWATER	ELL 42C 145053 00 STATEMEN	1902-04-01 SWSWSW 6 6 S 43 E TONGUE RIVER	RM 42C 145052 00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM	42 E TONGUE RIVER	00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM	227.00 1899-02-25 W2NE 18 6 S 43 E HANGING WOMAN CREEK	42	910-02-19 N	RM 42B	1914-04-01	STRM 42C 145048 00 STATEMENT	8.00 1971-06-01	STRM 42C	6.00 1	GWTR 42C 145046 00 S	1902-06-14 SWSESE	STRM 42B 145045 00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM	0.00 1907-10-07 NESESE 19 6 S 43 E HANGING WOMAN CREEK	M 42B 145044 00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM	UNNAMED TRIBUTARY OF COOK	ENT OF CLAIM	GROUNDWATER	145042 00 STATEMENT OF C	NESENE 26 5 S 42 E COOK CREEK	GWTR 42C 145041 00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM	947-12-01 NENWNF, 12	WELL 42C 145040 OD CHARRENTO OF CLAIM	950-12-31 F2NESW 33 S S AA E HANAMED UDITHINAN OF HAVE	M 420 145029 OO SHAHEMENE OF STATE	ATEMENT OF CLAIM S	WETT 126 SESENE 35 5 S 43 E GROUNDWATER	L 42C 145037 00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM	MRTP BASIN WR NUMBER EXT WR TYPE AX ACRES PRIORITY QTR SEC SEC TWP N RNG E SOURCE OWNER	
	40.00 GPM	·)	3.00 GPM		6.90 CFS		7 13.34 CFS				10.00 CFS		4.00 CFS		R 11.14 CFS		30.00 CES		R 20.00 CFS		R 2.50 CFS	CREEK	Γ	(T 5.00 GPM	į	30 00	17.00 GPM	KEX.			T 5.00 GPM		T 4.00 GPM	PUR FLOW RATE UNT	
DECEMBER COLLEGE CO	1.40 1.40	ATTLE CO	() 	N CATT	931.20 931.20	THE TOTAL CAME TO	1 601 55 CALIFE CO	N C N T T T		CATTLE C		CATTLE		CATTLE	(; ; t	CATTLE	; ; ;	CATTLE		CATTLE CO	120.00	T) () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	1 00	T] CO	1 60 1 60		CC	3.36	BROWN CATTLE CO		BROWN CATTLE CO	2.52	TTLE CO	2.52 2.52	PURP VOL MAX VOL	

1.00 194/-12-01 NENWNE	G WELL 42C	1.00 1896-12-31	G WELL 42C	1.00 1947-12-01 NENWNE	G WELL 42C
NENWNE 12 6 S 42 E GROUNDWATER	145057 00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM	1.00 1896-12-31 NESWSW 25 5 S 42 E GROUNDWATER	145056 00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM	NENWNE 12 6 S 42 E GROUNDWATER	145055 00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM
	DM		DM		DM
	17.00 GPM		3.00 GPM		17.00 GPM
BROWN CATTLE CO	3.00 3.00	e D	3.00 3.00	CATTI.E. (3.00

•

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

FINAL ORDER

IN THE MATTER OF THE DESIGNATION OF THE POWDER RIVER BASIN CONTROLLED GROUNDWATER AREA

Pursuant to the Montana Water Use Act, Mont. Code Ann. 85-2-506, and after notice required by law, public hearings were held on September 22 and 23 in Lodge Grass, Colstrip, Miles City, and Broadus to consider the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation's proposal to designate a controlled groundwater area for the Powder River Basin in anticipation of expected groundwater withdrawals associated with coal bed methane development in the area. Comments were accepted into the record at the hearings and written comments were accepted through October 8, 1999. Based on the information gathered by the Department, the input of other agencies, and the public comment received the Department has modified its proposed findings, conclusion, and order as will follow. The public comment is addressed in the Memorandum that appears at the end of this order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Coal bed methane extraction technology requires groundwater withdrawal to lower groundwater levels and reduce water pressures in the coal beds. Wells may be placed at regular intervals over large areas covering many square miles. The wells are pumped continuously with the specific intent of lowering water pressures in the coal bed. Lowering water pressures will lower water levels in the aquifer.
- 2. During coal bed methane development, water is removed only from coal aquifers. Other aquifers in an area in a coal bed methane development area may or may not be affected depending upon connections between aquifers.
- 3. Coal beds are important regional aquifers in water-scarce southeastern Montana. The coal aquifers are often the only practical source of fresh water for domestic, stock, and agricultural uses by the people in the area.

- 4. The complexities of geology and hydrogeology and the uncertainty about the extent and precise location of future coal bed methane development do not allow an accurate assessment of conflicts with existing and future beneficial water use. However, there is considerable data available showing significant effects on water levels in coal aquifers from extensive and continuous pumping of water from coal mines in the Decker area.
- 5. Since coal bed methane development is of limited duration, 20 to 30 years, in any particular field, and because the aquifer is not otherwise disturbed, water in the aquifer most likely will recover to its pre-development level. However, even if an aquifer were to recover rapidly after development, the long period of development could cause severe hardship to local water users. Moreover, interrelationships among aquifers along with future precipitation patterns could cause unpredictable results.
- 6. Assessment of localized effects of coal bed methane development on water availability would require compiling baseline data from existing wells, before methane gas development, along with data from test wells and coal bed methane production wells. Monitoring of water levels, water pressures and pumping rates during development would also be necessary to determine the effects.
- 7. The Department of Natural Resources and Conservation usually considers a one-half mile radius from any particular water well as the zone of possible influence from the well. When the Department receives an application for a water well the owners of all existing wells within that radius are notified. Available information does not justify an assumption that wells for coal bed methane development should be considered any different. However, neither does the information justify any assumption that wells or springs over a half a mile from coal bed methane development will not be affected. Baseline information should be gathered for any well within the general location of coal bed methane development so that the effects can be monitored.
- 8. Although withdrawing groundwater is integral to the coal bed methane extraction method, water is not a desired product of the operation, and must be disposed. Since the withdrawal of the water is not a use of the water per se, a water use permit from the DNRC is not required for withdrawing the water. Public authorities do not otherwise regulate the withdrawal of ground water in the area except as it relates to coal mining.
- 9. Water withdrawn during coal bed methane withdrawal may be suitable for use by local residents, agriculture, and business. Wells drilled for coal bed methane development could in some cases be developed as water sources for local residents, agriculture, and business.

- 10. Wells that are inadequately sealed present a hazard of contaminating water in one aquifer with contaminants from another aquifer or introducing methane into non-coal aquifers. The Board of Oil and Gas Conservation regulates well construction and enforces standards for sealing gas wells. These standards are in excess of the standards of sealing water wells enforced by the Montana Board of Water Well Contractors.
- 11. Since water withdrawn from the aquifers is not otherwise consumed, the water must be discharged in some matter. Any applicable water discharge permits must be obtained, and water disposal requirements must be met, before groundwater may be discharged, re-injected, or disposed in the Controlled Groundwater Area. Water discharge permits may include the Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MPDES) permit from the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), for discharge to surface water, and the Underground Injection Control (UIC) permit from the Board of Oil and Gas Conservation, for discharge re-injected to groundwater.
- 12. Extensive coal bed methane development has occurred from coal-bearing strata within the Powder River Basin geologic structure in Wyoming and some development has occurred in Montana near Decker. The Powder River Basin geologic structure and associated coal-bearing strata extends north into southeastern Montana. Consequently, coal bed methane development will likely extend further north into southeastern Montana in the near future.
- 13. The proposed controlled groundwater area includes the Wasatch Formation and the Tongue River Member of the Fort Union Formation. The formations are the two principle coal-bearing strata in southeastern Montana. The area forms a generally contiguous block of these coal-bearing formations within the Montana part of the Powder River Basin (see Map 1). According to the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology (MBMG), the area includes all known strippable sub-bituminous coal deposits greater than 30 inches thick in southeastern Montana. (MBMG SP28, Figure 10).
- 14. Montanans have a right to a clean and healthful environment.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Excessive groundwater withdrawals are very likely to occur in the near future because of consistent and significant increases in withdrawals from within the area proposed for controlled ground water designation. By "excessive", the Department means that water levels in targeted aquifers could be reduced near project areas for long periods of time in a water-scarce area.

- 2. The public health, safety, and welfare require that such extensive water withdrawals in a water-scarce area are monitored and the water withdrawals be controlled where existing beneficial uses of water are adversely affected. Without this designation of a controlled ground water area the extensive withdrawals of ground water anticipated may proceed uncontrolled.
- 3. With this designation of a controlled groundwater area the withdrawal of groundwater associated with coal bed methane production will be under the prior jurisdiction of the Montana Board of Oil and Gas. However, water rights matters and hydrogeologic issues are not within the ordinary technical expertise and area of concern to the Board. These are matters ordinarily dealt with by the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation and the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology. The Montana Department of Natural Resources may petition the Board for hearings in regard to the production, use, and disposal of water from coal bed methane development wells that could effect existing water rights in the area based upon information gathered concerning water withdrawals.
- 4. This controlled groundwater designation will not affect regulation of new water rights for conventional water uses. If local interests wish to acquire water rights to wells constructed during coal bed methane development, a beneficial use permit will be required. Standard exceptions to permit requirement will still apply. For example, a permit will not be required for appropriations of 35 gallons per minute or less and not exceeding 10 acre-feet per year on wells developed for beneficial use. Laws for ground water withdrawals that do not require a water use permit such as conventional oil and gas activities, mining, or agricultural drainage, will remain in effect as in other parts of the state.
- 5. Discharges of water during coal bed methane development, and well sealing to prevent leakage and contamination are sufficiently regulated by the DEQ and Board of Oil and Gas.
 - 6. The designation of a controlled groundwater area does not infringe on the rights of Montana citizens to a clean and healthful environment.

7. ORDER

The following described area, as also delineated on Map 1 attached, is designated a Controlled Groundwater Area under Mont. Code Ann. 85-2-506: all sections in Township 06N, Ranges 45E and 46E; all sections in Township 05North, Ranges 40East – 47 East; all sections in Township 04 North, Ranges 38 East, 39 East, 41East – 46 East,

and 48 East; all sections in Township 03 North, Ranges 37 East – 49 East; all sections in Township 02 North, Ranges 36 East – 50 East; all sections in Township 01North, Ranges 36 East – 50 East; all sections in Township 01 South, Ranges 37 East – 50 East; all sections in Township 03 South, Ranges 37 East – 51 East; all sections in Township 03 South, Ranges 37 East – 51 East; all sections in Township 04 South, Ranges 37 East – 51 East; all sections in Township 05 South, Ranges 36 East – 50 East; all sections in Township 07 South, Ranges 37 East – 51 East; all sections in Township 08 South, Ranges 37 East – 51 East; and all sections in Township 09 South, Ranges 37 East – 51 East; and all sections in Township 09 South, Ranges 37 East – 51 East. The controlled groundwater area includes all formations above the Lebo member of the Fort Union Formation.

1. Applicability to coal bed methane wells only.

This controlled groundwater area designation shall apply only to wells designed and installed for the extraction of coal bed methane (CBM).

2. Standards for Permitting, Drilling, and Producing Coalbed Methane Wells

CBM development within the controlled groundwater area must follow the standards for drilling, completing, testing, and production of CBM wells as adopted by the Board of Oil and Gas Conservation. Standards include: field development proposals including initial test wells and development plans; maps of the targeted coal bed; an inventory and hydrologic assessment of existing wells, springs, and streamflow that could be impacted by the operation; and means to mitigate water resource impacts. The Board of Oil and Gas Conservation shall consider applications for each coal bed methane production field. Approval of specific field rules may include requirements and restrictions in addition to the general operating standards.

3. Water Source Mitigation Contract

Coal bed methane operators must offer water mitigation agreements to owners of water wells or natural springs within one-half mile of a CBM field proposed for approval by the Board or within the area that the operator reasonably believes may be impacted by a CBM production operation, whichever is greater. This area will be automatically extended one-half mile beyond any well adversely affected. The mitigation agreement must provide for prompt supplementation or replacement of water from any natural spring or water well adversely affected by the CBM project and shall be under such conditions as the parties mutually agree upon. Mitigation agreements are intended to address the reduction or loss of water resources and may exclude mechanical, electrical,

or similar loss of productivity not resulting from a reduction in the amount of available water due to production from CBM wells. The areas covered by mitigation agreements will be considered in review of field development proposals. The TAC will make recommendations to the Board of Oil and Gas Conservation if it identifies a need to increase the required mitigation area.

4. Technical Advisory Committee

The DNRC will designate a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) with specific expertise in coal aquifer hydrology and shallow groundwater systems. The committee will oversee the groundwater characteristics and monitoring, and the reporting requirements identified in items 5 and 6 below. The TAC will also review groundwater data and scientific evidence related to the Powder River Basin Controlled Groundwater Area and advise the agencies on administration of the area.

The committee will consist of qualified scientists with experience related to the hydrogeology of coal aquifers and CBM extraction operations. The appointments and selections shall be to ensure, to the extent possible, that the committee includes members with expertise in hydrogeology, water quality, and CBM extraction systems and operations.

The TAC will periodically review groundwater data gathered from CBM development. This TAC will prepare an annual report each year, of their findings regarding the impact to the groundwater resource from CBM activities and any mitigation recommendations they may develop. The TAC may submit reports more often if they find it appropriate. Additionally, the TAC will make recommendations to the Board of Oil and Gas Conservation regarding development of specific groundwater characterization, monitoring, and reporting requirements for field developments.

5. Groundwater Characterization, Monitoring, and Reporting

Hydrologic conditions in the targeted coal beds must be assessed prior to field development to establish baseline conditions. Specific requirements of the field rules will dictate that groundwater pressure is monitored in appropriate locations using dedicated monitoring wells, and that groundwater monitoring and reporting is conducted in a manner consistent with the recommendations outlined in the attached memorandum (Attachment A). In addition to all forms required by the Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Completion, a Montana Well Log Report, DNRC Form 603, must be completed for both production and monitoring wells when the wells are drilled and sent to DNRC at the address on the form within 60 days of the well completion.

The specific requirements for each production field will be developed with consideration of recommendations from the TAC. The procedures will include dedicated groundwater monitoring wells outside of, and surrounding the production field. The operator will be required to seek landowner approval to install such wells at appropriate distances from the field. State or federal lands should be considered. If the operator demonstrates that no site is available at appropriate distance, a well at the extreme outer limit of the operator's lease area may suffice. Dedicated groundwater monitoring wells must be placed in the next aquifer above and below the targeted coal seam, if applicable, within the production field. Also, as a minimum requirement, at least one 24-hour aquifer test must be conducted using at least one observation well, and baseline groundwater pressures and water quality data must be obtained from the monitoring wells prior to production.

6. Data Collection and Notice Procedures

Data collected from testing and production of CBM wells and any groundwater monitoring wells and springs required by the Board of Oil and Gas Conservation will be available to the public and provided to the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology. The Board of Oil and Gas Conservation will notify DNRC of applications relating to CBM field development proposals so that the Department may supply a mailing list of potentially affected water right holders within one-half mile of the proposed field area. The Board will publish notice in accordance with its existing procedures and will additionally require the developer to send individual notices to the water right holders listed by the DNRC.

NOTICES

1. Precautions in Coalbed Methane Areas

Water well developers and drillers must exercise caution when drilling water wells in or near coal bed methane projects as free methane gas may be encountered in one or more coal beds. Drillers should contact the Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Office at (406) 656-0040 for information about coal bed methane activities in their area of interest.

2. Beneficial Use of Water

Beneficial uses of water produced from CBM operations, such as for stock ponds, wildlife ponds, or irrigation requires a water right issued by DNRC as provided by law.

3. Water Discharge and Re-injection

The DEQ Water Protection Bureau at (406) 444-3080 administers the Montana Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit. The Board of Oil and Gas Conservation at (406) 656-0040 administers the Underground Injection Control permit.

Dated this day of December 1999.
Arthur Clinch, Director
Dept of Natural Resources and Conservation
1625 Eleventh Avenue
Helena, Montana 59620
(406) 444-2074

MEMORANDUM

The public hearings on the establishment of the Powder River Basin Controlled Groundwater Area were well attended and the Water Resources Division received substantial written comment. The public comment was unanimous in its concern that scarce water resources and existing water uses in the area be protected and overwhelmingly favored establishing a controlled groundwater area. The only dissent to the DNRC proposal did not object so much to the underlying goal of protecting the groundwater resource, but was more concerned that existing regulation was being duplicated.

Local public support for a controlled groundwater area is critical. The Powder River Basin situation is unique in its vast area, its water scarcity, the volume of withdrawals contemplated, and the immediacy of those withdrawals. The DNRC therefore initiated the process for establishing the area on its own motion rather than waiting for a local petition. If, however, it had appeared during the information-gathering period that the public was not interested in or did not favor establishing the area, the DNRC would not have gone forward with the proposal. After all, it is local water users that a controlled groundwater area is designed to protect.

The comment generally expressed concerns about a lack of information about the possible impacts of, and lack of government control and coordination over, water withdrawals and discharges during coal bed methane development. The DNRC has attempted to address these concerns with the modified findings, conclusion, and order.

The most important component of the modified order is the requirement to record and gather baseline and monitoring data so that problems and impacts can be detected as they occur. Of equal importance may be the establishment of a technical advisory committee to review, analyze, and make recommendations concerning the information gathered. The technical advisory committee can also review information provided by local water users. Judging from the public comment, the local land owners will be more than willing to provide any information they might have that will help the committee to track the impacts of the water withdrawals. Without such information and review, attempts to mitigate any adverse impact and to implement any necessary control over the development would be difficult. Individual water users generally do not have the resources to put together the information required to implement controls or mitigate impacts.

The modified order has also attempted to address concerns about the lack of coordination and duplication of regulation between government agencies. For example, the modified order recognizes the jurisdiction of the Montana Department of Environmental Quality over water discharges. The public comments expressed grave concern about the quality of the water and the DEQ has been apprized of that concern. The DNRC, however, will not be regulating in that area. It has also been determined that the Montana Board of Oil and Gas already has sealing standards for wells that will protect aquifers from contamination so that there is no reason to apply such standards as a condition of the controlled groundwater area.

One notable addition to the findings and conclusions concerns a Montana citizen's right to a clean and healthful environment guaranteed by Article II, Section 3 of the Montana Constitution. A recent Montana Supreme Court Decision, *Montana Environmental Information Center et al. v. Department of Environmental Quality*, 1999 MT 248, holds that the right to a clean and healthful environment is fundamental. Since water is vital, it would seem then that the Department would be remiss not to consider the environmental implications of establishing this controlled groundwater area. The Department believes that establishing the area will not intrude on the right to a clean and healthful environment, but rather will help to establish a means by which that right can be protected.

In conclusion, the DNRC was gratified by the interest and support expressed for the controlled groundwater area. When the community center in Broadus is filled to capacity

and a petition with over 150 signatures from that sparsely populated area is submitted it cannot be ignored. The DNRC will reciprocate by keeping the public informed of all developments. The DNRC also appreciates the attendance by Redstone Gas Partners at each of the public meetings and the cooperation they have shown throughout this process. The DNRC has considered the comments of all the participants and those comments have entered into the fashioning of this final order.



1625 Broadway, Suite 1800 Denver, Colorado 80202 Bus. 303-893-3133 Fax 303-893-1964

May 11, 2001

RECEIVED

MAY 1 4 2001

DEPT. CENIATURAL PTO DURCES
AND CONSTRUCTON
BILLINGS OFFICE

State of Montana
Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
Water Resources Regional Office
Airport Industrial Park
1371 Rimtop Drive
Billings, MT 59105-1978

Attn.: Mr. Marty Van Cleve

RE: Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit

for Produced Groundwater from Dietz Coal Bed

Tongue River Project Big Horn County, Montana

Dear Marty:

Fidelity Exploration and Production Company (FEPC), formerly Redstone Gas Partners, LLC, is submitting this *Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit* to the Montana Department of Natural Resources (MDNRC), for water marketing of produced groundwater from its coal bed natural gas (CBNG) production wells. We further request that MDNRC issue an *Interim Permit* allowing immediate beneficial use of the produced groundwater.

This application for permit is part of a comprehensive produced groundwater management program for our Tongue River project, including, but not limited to:

- Stock watering;
- Industrial applications;
- Discharge to Tongue River;
- · Re-injection into Dietz Coal Bed;
- Injection into Wall Coal;

FEPC produces groundwater from wells to release CBNG. FEPC currently produces groundwater from the Dietz, Monarch and Carney coal beds. The point of diversion and place of use for this application is the location of each CBNG well producing from the Dietz Coal Bed. FEPC may elect to transfer all or part of the produced groundwater to other parties for a variety of beneficial uses. FEPC may also elect to include additional points of diversion and places of use (i.e., additional CBNG wells) under this application for permit in the future.

Initially, a portion of the produced groundwater, diverted from CBNG wells, is proposed for beneficial use by stock watering by Consol Energy (Consol) [dba CNX Land Resources, Inc.] and its assignees on Consol property. As needed, produced groundwater from wells will be discharged directly to existing and proposed stock watering ponds located in eight batteries in T9SR39E and T9SR40E. Additionally, a portion of the produced groundwater, diverted from FEPC CBNG wells in the eight batteries, is proposed for delivery by